

April 23, 2025

RFQ-25-004: Tertiary Systems Upgrade Project (Design-Build)

Addendum No. 1

Respondents shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the RFQ-25-004 Checklist in Attachment B of the Request for Qualifications (RFQ) with submittal of the Statement of Qualifications (SOQ). Failure to do so may result in the rejection of the SOQ.

Addendum No. 1 to RFQ-25-004 consists of the following:

- Three (3) pages of text, including Responses to Respondent Questions.

Respondents shall make the following changes to all pertinent sheets, pages, and paragraphs of RFQ-25-004. Additions and modifications have been marked with an underline and deletions have been marked with a strikethrough.

A. **Amend** Section 2.7.1 Phase I: Preconstruction and Design Services as follows:

~~B. Milestone Design Reviews and Workshops. Participate in meetings or workshops related to key milestone design reviews to provide input.~~ **Process Modeling, Basis of Design, and Design Development.** Analyze historical wastewater flows, quality and process data and perform secondary treatment process modeling using an industry-standard software (ex., BioWin, SUMO, etc.) to define improvements to BRB No. 6 to promote nitrification, and to define the wastewater quality and design parameters that serve as the basis for the tertiary system conversion to denitrification. Develop a Basis of Design Report and Preliminary Engineering Report (PER), and develop detailed design documents. Facilitate process modeling, basis of design, and design development meetings and design review workshops with Owner staff.

B. **Amend** Section 2.9 Procurement Overview as follows:

The second step of the procurement process will entail the submission of a Technical/Management Proposal and a Sealed Price Proposal from each Shortlisted Respondent. The Sealed Price Proposal is anticipated to include information that will be part of the evaluation process (e.g., the Design-Builder's corporate overhead and profit percentage for Phase II Services) and information that will not be part of the evaluation process (e.g. summary table of billing rates for Key Personnel and estimated costs for the Preconstruction and Design Services). It currently is anticipated that the evaluation process for the RFP will result in those elements of the Sealed Price Proposal that will be scored having a relative weight of fifteen (15) percent and the Technical/Management Proposal accounting for the

remaining weighting. The Technical/Management Proposal is anticipated to include the following criteria: qualifications, prior construction management and design-build experience, and project approach. The intention is that the SOQs submitted during the first step of the procurement process will be attached to the Shortlisted Respondent's proposal and will be used as the basis for scoring the qualifications criteria. Additional details regarding the second step of the procurement process will be provided in the RFP.

C. **Amend** Section 3.7.1 Construction as follows:

Provide three (3) reference projects by the Lead Contractor that the Respondent considers most relevant for demonstrating the team's qualifications reflecting the listed elements in Sections 2.3, 2.5, and 3.7 A-F. These three (3) reference projects must have been constructed (i.e., construction work substantially complete) within the ten (10) years prior to the issuance date for this RFQ and be of similar size and scope to the Tertiary Systems Upgrade Project, by any method of project delivery. These may be the same as design reference projects (see Section 3.7.2) if the Lead Contractor and Lead Designer both worked on the same project.

D. **Amend** Section 3.7.2 Design as follows:

Provide three (3) reference projects by the Lead Designer that the Respondent considers most relevant for demonstrating the team's qualifications reflecting the listed elements in Sections 2.3, 2.5, and 3.7 A-F. These three (3) reference projects must have been designed (i.e., 100% design completed) within the ten (10) years prior to the issuance date for this RFQ and be of similar size and program to the Tertiary Systems Upgrade Project, by any method of project delivery. These may be the same as construction reference projects (see Section 3.7.1) if the Lead Contractor and Lead Designer both worked on the same project.

E. **Amend** Section 5.1 Format as follows:

Any 11-inch by 17-inch pages other than the organizational chart will count as two (2) page-equivalents and shall be formatted to print single-sided. The organization chart may be 11-inch by 17-inch if necessary, count as a single page, and shall be formatted to print single-sided. All content shall be in English.

Response to Respondent's Questions

Q1: Section 3.7.1 Construction, states: "...projects must have been constructed within the ten (10) years" and Section 3.7.2 Design, states: "... projects must have been designed within the ten (10) years." Would AlexRenew consider changing the language to say "projects must have been completed within the ten (10) years"?

A1: Refer to modifications to Section 3.7.1 and Section 3.7.2 made under Addendum No. 1.

Q2: In the slide presentation for the Information Session Presentation held on Tuesday, April 15th, the last slide shows SOQs due May 22. The SOQ states May 20th in section 2.10 Procurement Schedule, Table 2.2. Please clarify which is correct.

A2: The date listed in the RFQ is correct (SOQs are due May 20, 2025).

Q3: 3.6 Team Organization and Key Personnel, B states: “A one-page organization chart illustrating the Respondent’s structure for providing services under both phases (chart may be 11-inch by 17-inch if necessary)...” Further, 5.1 Format states: “Any 11-inch by 17-inch pages will count as two (2) page-equivalents...” Please confirm if an org chart on an 11x17 will count as 1 page or 2 pages.

A3: Refer to modifications to Section 5.1 made under Addendum No. 1.

End of Addendum No. 1