



1800 Limerick Street
Alexandria, VA 22314
alexrenew.com

Board of Directors
John Hill, Chair
James Beall, Vice Chair
Adriana Caldarelli, Sec'y-Treas
Mark Jinks
Rebecca Hammer
Chief Executive Officer
Justin Carl, PE
General Counsel
McGuireWoods, LLP

September 15, 2023

RFQ-24-001: Solids Upgrade Project (CMAR) Addendum No. 1

Respondents shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the RFQ-24-001 Checklist in Attachment A of the September 11, 2023 Request for Qualifications (RFQ) with submittal of the Statement of Qualifications (SOQ). Failure to do so may result in the rejection of the SOQ.

Addendum No. 1 to RFQ-24-001 consists of the following:

- Two (2) pages of text.

Respondents shall make the following changes to all pertinent sheets, pages, and paragraphs of RFQ-24-001.

A. **Amend** the second paragraph under Section 2.7 as follows:

The second step of the procurement process will entail the submission of a Technical/Management Proposal and a Sealed Price Proposal from each Shortlisted Respondent. The Sealed Price Proposal is anticipated to include information that will be part of the evaluation process (~~e.g.,~~ the CMAR's corporate overhead and profit percentage for Phase 2 Services) and information that will not be part of the evaluation process (~~e.g.,~~ summary table of billing rates for Key Personnel and estimated costs for the Preconstruction Services). It is anticipated that the evaluation process for the RFP will result in those elements of the Sealed Price Proposal that will be scored having a relative weight of ~~forty percent (40%)~~ 15 percent and the Technical/Management Proposal ~~having a relative weight of sixty percent (60%)~~ accounting for the remaining weighting. Additional details regarding the second step of the procurement process will be provided in the RFP.

B. **Amend** the first paragraph under Section 3.7 as follows:

Provide sufficient information to enable AlexRenew to understand and evaluate the corporate experience of the Respondent's ~~team~~ on individual projects of similar scope and complexity. AlexRenew intends to evaluate Respondents based upon previous experience with the following:

- A. ~~Team members working together~~ Key personnel engagement on projects.
- ~~B. Wastewater work~~
- B. Work at active wastewater treatment plant.
- C. Work associated with solids processes and equipment.
- D. Maintenance of plant operations.
- E. Experience with collaborative delivery methods.

F. ~~Percentage of work self performed~~ Corporate capability to self-perform work.

C. **Amend** the third paragraph under Section 3.7 as follows:

Summary of Related Project Experience shall include:

- A. Reference Project name
- B. Reference Project location
- C. Reference Project description/scope
- D. ~~Percentage of Work self performed.~~ A brief description of work self-performed by the Respondent and estimation of the percentage of work self-performed.
- E. Any Key Personnel that performed on the Reference Project and role on the Reference Project
- F. Contact information for a person representing the owner/client for the Reference Project who was in responsible charge of the project and is knowledgeable of the Respondent's role (include name, title, phone number, and e-mail)
- G. The Reference Project's awarded cost, and final completed cost. Describe any claims or significant change orders that delayed the schedule and/or increased the project cost.
- H. The date the Reference Project started, the planned completion date at the time of award, and the actual project completion date.
- I. The delivery method (design-build, CMAR, design-bid-build, etc.) under which the Reference Project was constructed.
- J. Summarize the relevant technical scope elements (see Sections 2.3 and 2.5) similar to the Project.
- K. Identify significant challenges encountered and solutions provided during the project.
- L. Identify any added value/significant benefits to the Owner on the project.

D. **Amend** Section 3.10.2 as follows:

Include a letter from a surety company (with a Best's Financial Strength Rating of A-minus and Financial Size Category VIII or better by AM Best Company) in Appendix C stating that the Respondent can obtain a performance and payment bond based on the current estimated CMAR Contract value referenced in Section ~~2.3~~ 2.4, which bonds will cover the Project and any warranty periods. The letter shall clearly state the rating categorization noted above and reference the estimated CMAR Contract value.

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1