



ALEXANDRIA RENEW ENTERPRISES

RFQ-19-079

ADDENDUM NO. 2

To

TUNNEL SYSTEM PROJECT (DESIGN-BUILD) REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

July 12, 2019

Respondents shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the RFQ-19-079 Checklist in Attachment A of the June 7, 2019 Request for Qualifications (RFQ) with submittal of the Statement of Qualifications (SOQ). Failure to do so may result in the rejection of the SOQ.

Addendum No. 2 to RFQ-19-079 consists of the following:

- Two (2) pages of text.

AlexRenew responses to questions received on RFQ-19-079 are as follows:

- **Question 1.** In Section 3.7B of the RFQ, AlexRenew requires the submission of “a one-page organization chart illustrating the Respondent’s team structure and information on the entities comprising the Respondent’s team, ... their function and details of “chain of command” responsibilities.” To comply with all of these requirements, we believe that two separate types of organization chart must be submitted; a functional org chart (illustrating the contractual structure between the companies making up our team, with a high-level definition of each company’s role/scope of work) and a delivery org chart that illustrates all components of the delivery team (including construction, design and management components), the roles of individual members of the delivery team, and lines of reporting between those individuals. Please confirm whether our interpretation of these Section 3.7B requirements is accurate.

Response. Respondents may convey the requested information in one or more charts at their preference.

- **Question 2.** Sections 3.8.1 and 3.8.2 state that it is acceptable for us to use the same Projects as reference experience for both construction and design. Can AlexRenew please confirm which of the following approaches to dealing with that scenario would be acceptable and result in us receiving credit for the Project under both 3.8.1 and 3.8.2:

- We include an experience write-up in both sections using the same Project, but address construction experience within Section 3.8.1, and address design experience within Section 3.8.2.
- We include a single Project write-up within either Section 3.8.1 or 3.8.2, and address both construction and design experience in that single write-up. This has the advantage of enabling us to highlight three other projects under Section 3.8.2, but we want to make sure this meets the intent of the RFQ.

Response. Please include an experience write-up in both sections using the same Project and address construction experience in Section 3.8.1 and design experience in Section 3.8.2.

- **Question 3.** Please clarify that a letter from a surety company is to be written on behalf of the JV or each member of the JV shall provide a separate letter from its respective surety.

Response. The Respondent, however organized, is to provide a letter written and signed by the Surety that will ultimately provide performance and payment bonds for the project on behalf of the Respondent. If the Respondent intends to use multiple sureties (i.e., co-sureties) to provide the performance and payment bonds, then the letter shall be written and signed by either: (a) the co-sureties; or (b) if the co-sureties agree to empower and designate a single, "Lead Surety" with authority to act on behalf of all of the co-sureties with respect to the bonds, then by such Lead Surety, with the letter explaining that the Lead Surety has been so empowered to write and sign the letter.

- **Question 4.** Please verify that 8.5in x 11in paper printed on BOTH sides shall be counted one (1) page, not two (2) pages.

Response. Double sided printing of 8.5-inch by 11-inch paper counts as two (2) pages.

End of Addendum No. 2